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#### Abstract

C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{-}\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c, a=8.052$ (4), $b=14.419$ (4), $c=14.980$ (4) $\AA, \beta=114.43(6)^{\circ}, U=1583.5 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}=$ $1.812 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mu($ Mo $K x)=10.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined to an $R$ of 0.047 for 1207 unique diffractometer data. A bicyclo[3.2.1] octadienylium ligand is found in contrast to the originally proposed [5.1.0] structure. The acetyl group is endo to the localized double bond, and the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$anion is disordered.


Introduction. Johnson, Lewis \& Randall (1971) showed that tricarbonyl(cyclooctatetraene)iron (I $a$ ) reacts with acetyl chloride under Friedel-Crafts conditions to produce the cation $\left[\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{COCH}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]^{+}$together with a little (I $b$ ). They proposed structure (II $b$ ) for the cation by analogy with the protonation of (I $a$ ) which gives (II a) (Davison, McFarlane, Pratt \& Wilkinson, 1962; Brookhart \& Davis, 1971). Further chemical and spectroscopic evidence indicated the bicyclo[3.2.1] structure (III), confirmed by this analysis; this evidence and preliminary details of the crystal structure have been given by Charles, Diversi, Johnson, Karlin, Lewis, Rivera \& Sheldrick (1977).

(Ia) $R=\mathrm{H}$
(Ib) $R=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$

(IIa) $R=\mathrm{H}$ (IIb) $R=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$

(III) $R=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$

Intensities were measured with a Stoe STADI-2 twocircle diffractometer, graphite-monochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, and two crystals, $0.27 \times 0.27 \times 0.23 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $0.19 \times 0.23 \times 0.23 \mathrm{~mm}$, mounted about $[010]$ and [001] respectively. 3398 reflexions were measured, Lp corrections were applied but no absorption corrections were made; interlayer scale factors were derived by linear least squares from common reflexions. Equivalent reflexions were then averaged to give 1207 unique reflexions with $F>4 \sigma(F)$ based on counting statistics. Cell dimensions were obtained by a least-squares fit to 585 diffractometer $\omega$ medians for reflexions in both zero layers. The Fe and P atoms were located by multisolution $\sum_{2}$ sign expansion, and the remaining atoms from difference syntheses. The structure was refined by

Table 1. Atom coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic temperature factors $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe | 3620 (1) | -189 (1) | 7305 (1) |  |
| C(1) | 5767 (9) | 1234 (5) | 8469 (5) |  |
| C(2) | 5191 (12) | 1051 (6) | 7395 (6) |  |
| C(3) | 5922 (11) | 289 (8) | 7129 (6) |  |
| C(4) | 6550 (12) | -450 (7) | 7791 (7) |  |
| C(5) | 7076 (10) | -242 (6) | 8860 (6) |  |
| C(6) | 5257 (9) | -255 (6) | 8922 (5) |  |
| C(7) | 4489 (10) | 594 (5) | 8706 (5) |  |
| C(8) | 7600 (10) | 776 (5) | 9072 (5) |  |
| C(9) | 8312 (9) | 1004 (6) | 10162 (6) |  |
| C(10) | 8764 (12) | 1999 (6) | 10455 (7) |  |
| C(11) | 2736 (11) | -441 (5) | 6008 (6) |  |
| C(12) | 3034 (11) | -1358 (7) | 7522 (5) |  |
| C(13) | 1512 (12) | 388 (6) | 7095 (5) |  |
| O(9) | 8460 (7) | 410 (4) | 10751 (4) |  |
| O(11) | 2151 (10) | -627(4) | 5204 (5) |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 2688 (9) | -2097 (4) | 7629 (4) |  |
| O(13) | 197 (9) | 763 (5) | 6979 (4) |  |
| P | 2598 (3) | 1988 (1) | 4416 (2) |  |
| F(1) | 1080 (8) | 1323 (4) | 3751 (5) |  |
| F(2) | 4178 (8) | 2662 (4) | 5044 (4) |  |
| F(3) | 2163 (10) | 1772 (5) | 5308 (5) |  |
| F(4) | 3062 (10) | 2196 (4) | 3518 (4) |  |
| F(5) | 3980 (11) | 1169 (4) | 4735 (5) |  |
| F(6) | 1249 (11) | 2824 (5) | 4126 (6) |  |
| $\mathrm{F}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 843 (32) | 1632 (22) | 4493 (31) | 42 (15) |
| F(2') | 4349 (33) | 2343 (25) | 4342 (33) | 151 (35) |
| F(3') | 3357 (53) | 2306 (28) | 5502 (11) | 197 (51) |
| F(4') | 1833 (50) | 1673 (27) | 3333 (11) | 76 (19) |
| $\mathrm{F}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | 3497 (46) | 1025 (13) | 4748 (28) | 119 (43) |
| F(6) | 1687 (44) | 2952 (12) | 4088 (26) | 28 (14) |

Table 2. H atom coordinates $\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$ and isotropic temperature factors ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $x(1)$ | $192(4)$ | $860(4)$ | $5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $562(8)$ | $152(4)$ | $693(4)$ | $5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | $467(8)$ | $152(5)$ | $641(5)$ | $7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $573(9)$ | $18(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $704(11)$ | $-98(6)$ | $764(6)$ | $10(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)$ | $785(8)$ | $-71(4)$ | $924(4)$ | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | $481(8)$ | $-76(5)$ | $919(4)$ | $5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $339(10)$ | $81(4)$ | $882(4)$ | $6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $846(8)$ | $97(4)$ | $886(4)$ | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(101)$ | $936(7)$ | $235(5)$ | $1002(4)$ | $12(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(102)$ | $748(5)$ | $232(5)$ | $1034(4)$ | $15(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(103)$ | $968(6)$ | $203(5)$ | $1122(1)$ | $11(3)$ |

blocked least squares with complex neutral-atom scattering factors and the weighting scheme $w=\left[\sigma^{2}(F)\right.$ $+0.005 F^{2} \mathrm{j}^{-1}$. It was found necessary to refine two $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$groups, with site-occupation factors of $k$ and $1-k$ respectively, constrained to be regular octahedra with the P atom as the common centre and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F} 1.550$ (10), $\mathrm{F} \cdots \mathrm{F} 2 \cdot 192$ (14) $\AA ; k$ refined to 0.9 . The H atoms were refined freely, except those of the methyl group, which were constrained to lie 1.08 (1) $\AA$ from $\mathrm{C}(10)$

Table 3. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ )

| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $2.162(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $2.094(12)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $2.195(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $2.230(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $2.226(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $1.808(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $1.816(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $1.797(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.503(13)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.530(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.526(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.380(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.400(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.508(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.505(14)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.525(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.349(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.526(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.198(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.501(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.129(11)$ | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.129(13)$ |

Table 4. Bond lengths involving $\mathbf{H}(\AA)$

| $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.02(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.94(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.03(8)$ | $\mathrm{H}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.93(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.94(6)$ | $\mathrm{H}(6)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.97(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.01(8)$ | $\mathrm{H}(8)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $0.92(7)$ |

Table 5. Selected bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 118.0 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 66.4 (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 108.5 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 35.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $100 \cdot 2$ (6) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 89.5 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 118.3 (10) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 89.0 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 101.7 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 99.5 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $110 \cdot 6$ (8) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 84.6 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 117.5 (10) | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $177 \cdot 4$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 102.1 (7) | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | 177.2 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 110.2 (8) | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | 178.6 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 101.0 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | 120.8 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 112.6 (8) | $\mathrm{O}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 122.0 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 112.3 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 117.2 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 100.4 |  |  |

and 1.76 (1) $\AA$ from each other. Anisotropic temperature factors were employed for all atoms except for the H atoms and the F atoms of the minor $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$ contributor, which were given individual isotropic temperature factors. The refinement converged to $R^{\prime}=$ $\sum w^{1 / 2} \Delta / \sum w^{1 / 2} F_{o}=0.046$, and $R=0.047$. Final positional and isotropic thermal parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2, bond lengths and angles in Tables 3-5.*

Discussion. The cation geometry (Fig. 1) is similar to that found in (bicyclo[3.2.1]octadienyl)tricarbonyliron tetrafluoroborate (Margulis, Schiff \& Rosenblum, 1965). The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ lengths and H atom positions are

[^0]

Fig. 1. The $\left\lfloor\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right|^{+}\right.$cation, showing the atom labelling.
consistent with a $\pi$-allyl interaction between Fe and $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$, and a $\pi$-alkene interaction between Fe and $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$. There is no evidence to support further delocalization in the $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ ring, as proposed by Margulis, Schiff \& Rosenblum (1965). Although C(1), $\mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{C}(6)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5)$ are coplanar to within $0.004 \AA$, $\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5)$ lie 0.55 and $0.53 \AA$ respectively above the plane defined by $\mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)$. The acetyl group is endo to the localized double bond $[\mathrm{C}(6)-$ $C(7)]$, with a dihedral angle $C(5)-C(8)-C(9)-O(9)$ of
$2 \cdot 1^{\circ}$. Except for the acetyl group, the cation possesses approximate mirror symmetry through $\mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(8), \mathrm{Fe}$, $\mathrm{C}(11)$ and $\mathrm{O}(11)$. The valence angles at Fe are consistent with this mirror plane but indicate appreciable distortion from local $C_{3 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry for the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ group; however, there are no significant variations in $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ (mean 1-807) or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ (mean $1 \cdot 132 \AA$ ) lengths within this group. The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ units are almost linear, with a mean angle of $177.7^{\circ}$.

We thank Drs A. D. Charles, P. Diversi and K. Karlin for providing the crystals, the Universidad de Los Andes for a Fellowship to AVR, and the Science Research Council for the diffractometer. Calculations were performed on the Cambridge University IBM $370 / 165$ computer with programs written by GMS.
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#### Abstract

C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{29} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Os}_{9}\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c, a=$ 18.75 (1), $b=15.20$ (1), $c=28.41$ (1) $\AA, \beta=$ $114.5(1)^{\circ}, U=7368 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}=2.751 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The structure was refined to an $R$ of 0.076 for 3274 unique diffractometer data. The complex anion consists of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Os}_{6}$ units linked by a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ bridge.

Introduction. The anion was prepared from $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{18}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11} \mathrm{H}\right]^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and isolated as the $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}\right.$salt (Eady, Guy, Johnson, Lewis, Malatesta \& Sheldrick, 1976). The crystal structure


determination reveals not an $\mathrm{Os}_{9}$ cluster as intended, but $\mathrm{Os}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Os}_{6}$ clusters linked by a novel $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ bridge.

Dark-brown crystals were obtained by slow diffusion of cyclohexane into a solution in dichloromethane. Intensities were measured with a Syntex $P 2$, four-circle diffractometer, graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation, and a crystal $0.28 \times 0.11 \times 0.09 \mathrm{~mm} .5014$ reflexions ( $1 \leq 2 \theta \leq 55^{\circ}$ ) were measured and corrected for absorption ( $\mu=150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ); the 3274 data with $F>$ $4 \sigma(F)$ were employed for structure refinement. The nine Os atoms were found by multisolution $\sum_{2}$ sign


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 33347 ( 10 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 INZ, England.

